Assignment-2 – Research Tools and Techniques

Total Marks: 10
Deadline: 08-11-2025
Mode of Submission: Handwritten hard copy on A4 sheets
Type: Individual Work

Faculty: Dr. Gokulakrishnan S
Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Assignment Question (10 Marks)

Objective:

To understand and analyze different tools and techniques used in the research process — from literature review to writing and publication.

Instructions:

Prepare a short report covering the following sections. Use examples wherever applicable.

Sections to Include:

1. Introduction (1 mark)

- Define what research tools and techniques are.

- Explain why they are important for researchers.

2. Literature Review Tools (2 marks)

- Describe the purpose of tools like Google Scholar, Scopus, Web of Science, and ResearchGate.

- Compare at least two tools based on their features (e.g., coverage, citation analysis, accessibility).

3. Citation and Reference Managers (2 marks)

- Explain how tools like Zotero, Mendeley, and EndNote help in managing references.

- Give an example of how citations can be inserted into a document automatically using one of these tools.

4. Plagiarism Detection Tools (2 marks)

- Briefly describe Turnitin, Grammarly, and PlagScan.

- Discuss why plagiarism checking is crucial in academic writing.

5. Writing and Formatting Tools (2 marks)

- Explain the use of LaTeX and Overleaf for technical writing.

- Mention at least one advantage of using LaTeX over MS Word for research papers.

- Describe the IEEE/ACM/Elsevier/SCI formatting styles (choose any one) and give an example of an in-text citation and reference.

6. Conclusion (1 mark)

- **Summarize the importance of using appropriate tools at different research stages.**

Assignment on Research Tools and Techniques – Model Answer

1. Introduction (1 mark)

Research tools and techniques are the methods and software applications that assist researchers in finding, analyzing, writing, and presenting information effectively. They help improve the accuracy, credibility, and efficiency of the research process. Using appropriate tools at each stage — from literature review to final writing — ensures a structured and professional outcome.

2. Literature Review Tools (2 marks)

Literature review tools are used to search, organize, and evaluate previous studies related to a research topic.

- **Google Scholar:** A free web-based database that indexes scholarly articles, theses, books, and conference papers. It provides quick access to citations and full-text PDFs.

- **Scopus:** A subscription-based database known for its comprehensive citation tracking, author metrics (like h-index), and journal ranking.

- **Web of Science:** Offers multidisciplinary coverage and advanced citation analysis features, ideal for impact factor studies.

- **ResearchGate:** A social networking platform for researchers to share papers, ask questions, and collaborate.

Comparison Example:

Feature	Google Scholar	Scopus
Access	Free	Paid
Coverage	Broad (may include non-peer-reviewed)	Peer-reviewed and curated
Citation Metrics	Basic	Advanced and accurate

3. Citation and Reference Managers (2 marks)

Citation managers help organize research papers, store bibliographic data, and automatically generate citations and reference lists.

- **Zotero:** Open-source tool that can save references directly from a browser and generate citations in multiple styles.

- **Mendeley:** Offers both reference management and a research community platform for collaboration.

- **EndNote:** A professional tool often used by publishers for managing large reference databases.

Example:
In **Mendeley**, a citation can be inserted into a Word document by selecting *Insert Citation → Choose Source*. The software automatically formats it in the required style (e.g., IEEE, APA).

4. Plagiarism Detection Tools (2 marks)

Plagiarism tools ensure originality by comparing text against existing databases and published works.

- **Turnitin:** Widely used in universities to detect similarities in student submissions.

- **Grammarly:** Checks grammar, style, and plagiarism in online documents.

- **PlagScan:** Provides similarity reports and source links for verification.

Importance:
Plagiarism checking is essential for maintaining academic integrity and avoiding unethical copying of others' work.

5. Writing and Formatting Tools (2 marks)

LaTeX and Overleaf

- **LaTeX** is a document preparation system ideal for mathematical and technical writing.

- **Overleaf** is an online LaTeX editor that allows real-time collaboration and automatic formatting.

Advantages of LaTeX:

- Professional-quality formatting for equations, tables, and citations.

- Ensures consistency and easy application of research paper templates.

Technical Writing Formats

Common research publication formats include **IEEE, ACM, Elsevier, and SCI**.

Example (IEEE Style):

- *In-text citation:* [1]

- *Reference list:*
  [1] A. B. Smith and C. Jones, "Deep learning applications in healthcare," *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 1005–1015, 2021.

6. Conclusion (1 mark)

Research tools and techniques simplify the process of discovering, organizing, and presenting scholarly work. From databases like Scopus to citation managers like Mendeley and writing tools like LaTeX, each plays a vital role in ensuring accuracy, originality, and professionalism in research writing.

References

1. https://scholar.google.com

2. https://www.scopus.com

3. https://www.zotero.org

4. https://www.overleaf.com

5. https://www.turnitin.com

DAYANANDA SAGAR
UNIVERSITY

**SCHOOL OF
ENGINEERING**

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Assignment-2 – Research Tools and Techniques

Student Name:
USN:
Semester:
Section:
Submission Date:
Marking Rubric (10 Marks)

Criteria	Excellent (Full Marks)	Good (Partial Marks)	Needs Improvement (Low Marks)	Marks
1. Introduction *(1 mark)*	Clearly defines research tools and techniques; explains their importance concisely and contextually.	Definition given but explanation lacks clarity or depth.	Incomplete or vague definition; no clear purpose explained.	**/1**
2. Literature Review Tools *(2 marks)*	Accurately describes ≥3 tools (e.g., Google Scholar, Scopus, Web of Science, ResearchGate); provides clear comparison with features or examples.	Describes ≥2 tools correctly but lacks comparison or detailed explanation.	Mentions tools without description or comparison.	**/2**
3. Citation & Reference Managers *(2 marks)*	Explains ≥2 citation tools with their functions and gives example of citation insertion or style formatting.	Explains tools but without example or limited understanding.	Only lists tools with little or no explanation.	**/2**
4. Plagiarism Detection Tools *(2 marks)*	Describes ≥2 tools (e.g., Turnitin, Grammarly) and clearly discusses importance of plagiarism checking.	Mentions tools but weak discussion on importance.	Minimal mention; unclear purpose or incomplete section.	**/2**
5. Writing & Formatting Tools *(2 marks)*	Explains LaTeX and Overleaf with advantages; correctly describes one publication format (IEEE/ACM/Elsevier/SCI) and example citation.	Describes tools but lacks example or incomplete format explanation.	Mentions tools only; lacks clarity or technical correctness.	**/2**
6. Conclusion *(1 mark)*	Strong summary linking all sections; emphasizes importance of using research tools effectively.	Adequate summary but lacks connection or insight.	Very brief or missing conclusion.	**/1**

Grading Scale (Out of 10 Marks)

Score Range	Performance Level	Description
9.0 – 10.0	Excellent	Comprehensive coverage, critical understanding, well-organized and properly cited.
7.0 – 8.9	Good	Good understanding, minor gaps, generally well-written.
5.0 – 6.9	Satisfactory	Basic knowledge shown, lacks depth or structure.
< 5.0	Needs Improvement	Limited understanding, missing sections, or poorly presented.

Performance Level :

Signature - Student   **Signature – Faculty**